

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Thayer A. Coburn 10/707,616 716042.13 1615 12/24/2003 **EXAMINER** 27128 7590 10/04/2005 BLACKWELL SANDERS PEPER MARTIN LLP PIZIALI, ANDREW T 720 OLIVE STREET **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 2400** ST. LOUIS, MO 63101 1771

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>r</b>	ſ
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/707,616	COBURN, THAYER A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew T. Piziali	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION of the community o	CATION. reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 De	ecember 2003.	•	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	<del></del>		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· ·	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	` ','		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not	received.	
attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	•	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a fabric label, classified in class 442, subclass 65.
  - II. Claims 11-26 and 30-39, drawn to a process for creating a label, classified in class718, subclass 100.
  - III. Claims 27-29, drawn to a computer-readable medium, classified in class 717, subclass 100.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. The product as claimed can be made without a computer database of information. Directly inputting information for the fabric label rather than selecting the information from a computer database can make the product as claimed.
- 3. Inventions of Group I and Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The invention of Group I functions as fabric label while the invention of Group III functions as a computer-readable medium.

Art Unit: 1771

- 4. Inventions of Group II and Group III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. The apparatus as claimed can be used to electronically store, send, and/or display fabric label information on a computer:
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. In the event that claims directed to the product are elected, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined. Therefore, upon the election of Group I, rejoinder will be considered upon indication of allowable subject matter pursuant to MPEP 821.04.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Kevin Kercher on 9/16/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,616

Art Unit: 1771

## Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T. Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-1541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

atp

ANDREW T. PIZIALI
PATENT EXAMINER